

Force Licensing Department Mansfield House 74 Belgrave Gate Leicester LE1 3GG

Tel: 101

www.leics.police.uk

## **Leicestershire Police**

Licensing Act 2003 – Representation in respect of a Transfer of Premises Licence Holder application & Designiated Premises Supervisor

Details of person or body making representation		
Your Name:	PC2093 Jefferson Pritchard	
Your Address:	Leicestershire Police Force Licensing Department Mansfield House Police Station 74 Belgrave Gate LEICESTER LE1 3GG	

Details of premises representation is about		
Name of	Uni	
Premises:		
Address of	39 Western Boulevard	
premises:	LEICESTER	
	LE2 7HN	
Application No. (if		
known)		

Please tick one or more of the licensing objectives that your representation relates to:			
Prevention of crime and disorder			
Public Safety			
Prevention of public nuisance			
Protection of children from harm			

## Please summarise your concerns about this application:

I write in my capacity as Licensing Officer for the Leicestershire Police on the authority delegated to me by the Chief Constable.

Only in exceptional circumstances will the police make a representation to a transfer of both a premises licence and a designated premises supervisor application.

It is Leicestershire Police's view that the granting of the transfer would be contrary to the fundamental principle of the Licensing Act 2003 in relation to the prevention of crime and disorder, public safety, prevention of public nuisance and the protection of children from harm.

This application has been submitted on behalf of Mr Sheakha Sharif Najhat to become the new premises licence holder and designated premises supervisor of Uni, 39 Western

Boulevard, Leicester. LE2 7HN.

The current premises licence holder and designated premises supervisor is Mr Nawzad Sharif-Nazhad.

On Monday 29<sup>th</sup> March 2021, a Leicester City Council licensing committee review hearing was held as a result of the concerns raised by Leicestershire Police. Representatives from Leicester City Council Trading Standards and Public Health also gave evidence at the hearing.

The licensing committee agreed that the premises licence holder / designated premises supervisor was instrumental in the failings associated to the premises and as a result elected to revoke the premises licence.

During the hearing, Mr Nazhad's solicitor Mr Munir made reference to Mr Nazhad's turning to his uncle Mr Sheakha Sahrif for assistance in running the business. Please also see Mr Munir's letter to Leicester City Council dated 9<sup>th</sup> March 2021. (Annex A)

During a telephone conversation with the new applicant Mr Sheakha Sharif Najhat on Saturday 10<sup>th</sup> April 2021. Mr Najhat confirmed that Mr Nawzad Sharif Nazhad was his cousin and that he was currently assisting him with managing the business as he too holds a personal licence. Please note that a licence condition stipulates that only a person possessing a personal licence may sell alcohol, which was one of factors resulting in the review hearing in the first instance.

During the conversation Mr Najhat confirmed we had met outside the front of premises as result of the incident on Friday 29<sup>th</sup> January 2021 and that we had spoken on the telephone on Monday 1<sup>st</sup> February 2021, as a result of a further incident.

During the incident on Monday 1<sup>st</sup> February 2021, Mr Nazhad asked that I speak to his relative in order to clarify matters regarding the condition about only persons with a personal licence being permitted to sell alcohol to customers.

During this conversation with Mr Najhat on Monday 1<sup>st</sup> February 2021, I re-iterated what I had earlier said to Mr Nazhad. Both Mr Nazhad and Mr Najhat both asked that the premises be given an additional two weeks in order to rectify the issue regarding the supply of alcohol by a person holding a personal licence. During the conversation, Mr Najhat asked if I could overlook the condition for two weeks, whilst they found a suitable replacement. When I challenged him and said, "Are you asking me to turn a blind eye for two weeks?" he replied "Yes".

It is also worth noting that the contact number provided on the transfer application for the applicant is identical to the mobile number provided by Mr Nazhad on our first encounter on the 17<sup>th</sup> December 2021.

Mr Najhat and Mr Nazhad are related and I suspect Mr Najhat has a financial interest in the business. I suspect Mr Nazhad will continue be involved in the business if the transfer of the licence is granted as Mr Najhat has other business interests and requires a person with a personal licence to be present in order to comply with the premises licence condition.

Given Mr Nazhad's previous failings to adhere to the law, licence conditions and promote the licensing objectives, there is no reason to believe he will now and will continue to be involved in the business in some capacity.

Mr Najhat's comments to a police licensing officer on Monday 1<sup>st</sup> February 2021 about turning a "blind eye" to breaches of its Leicester City Council premises licence condition is not constructive, nor is it supportive of the licensing objectives.

Leicestershire Police do not believe this is a genuine transfer application and is an attempt to mislead the police and licensing authority to believe a new applicant is involved in the business and will not repeat the previous failings as highlighted in the review hearing.

Therefore, Leicestershire Police respectfully requests that the transfer of the premises licence and designated premises supervisor be rejected.

PC2093 Jefferson Pritchard Central Leicester Alcohol Licensing Officer Leicestershire Police

Date 12/04/2021





March 9, 2021

Dear sirs.

## Re: The Uni Shop, 39 Western Boulevard, Leicester, LE2 7HN (LEIPRM1423)

We write in relation to our above named client who we have today been informed that he has a licensing hearing on the 29<sup>th</sup> March 2021.

We had been instructed in relation to this matter on the 10<sup>th</sup> February 2021. On that date we made contact with the licensing authority and spoke to Toni. We raised that we did not appear to have all the relevant material in order to make representations and that we wished to speak to the licensing officer dealing with the matter in order to discuss the matter further and then make representations accordingly.

We then received a call back on the 11<sup>th</sup> February 2021 but regrettably Mr Munir was in court. Mr Munir then attempted to call the officer back and followed this up with an email inviting a call back in order for our issues to be resolved.

We would suggest that this is important because, we have today been provided with body worn video in relation to the aspect of this case pertaining to the 29th January 2021. This is exhibit JJP01 and is the Body worn video of PC 2093 Pritchard. Our clients inform us that they were provided this today and is fundamental to our consideration of this matter in order for us to provide written representations. You will appreciate that we are unable to make effective representations where evidence is provided piecemeal.

We have also recently learned that the deadline for written representations was the 8<sup>th</sup> March 2021. We would be grateful if our written representations could be considered, notwithstanding this date, as our intention has been to assist and also bearing in mind we have sought to clarify matters, including seeking an opportunity to speak to the relevant licensing officer.

We ask that any documents referred to within the body of the application are provided to us. This includes, by way of example any letters that have been sent and confirmation of the manner in which those letters were sent

With the material we have been provided, we provide our initial representations in the following terms.

The application for review relates to the "Uni" shop which was formally known as "Schnaps". The licence number is LEIPRM1423 and it was initially granted in May 2012. It has been transferred a number of times, although the details of this are not known to us. Mr Sharif-Nazhad became the premises supervisor in February 2020. He came to manage the shop with a view to establishing a long term business and not with a view to overlook the purposes of the licensing regime.

There were issues in 2014 about the sale of alcohol to persons under the legal age. This, of course, could not be a criticism of Mr Sharif-Nazhad, who took over in February 2020.

There do not appear to be any issues or concerns raised between February 2020 and September 2020.

In September 2020 (date not specified in the application for review), the police receive intelligence about the sale of illegal foreign cigarettes. We are not informed about the veracity of the intelligence and whether any steps were taken at that stage to look into that suggestion. Certainly, no further intelligence or evidence is provided between September 2020 and December 2020.

The first substantive issue raised by the police takes place on the 6<sup>th</sup> December 2020. On this occasion it is alleged that during an argument the owner or owners son threatened a member of the public with a baseball bat and hammer. The caller to the police did not wish to engage with police officers but police officers found a baseball bat in the shop premises. Our client is clear about this incident. The member of the public is known to have stolen alcohol from the shop. He was told not to come into the shop and was not threatened at any stage. The criminal investigation was filed as "No further action". There is therefore no evidence, on the face of it, of any criminal liability in relation to this incident.

The secondary concern arises from the attempt by officers to obtain CCTV on the 13<sup>th</sup> December 2020. It is unclear who the officers spoke to on this occasion but it appears on the face of it, that the person attending the shop was unable to use the CCTV. Certainly the application does not indicate that the investigating officer who attended on the 13<sup>th</sup> December or 17<sup>th</sup> December had investigated how far the CCTV did go back. In any event, we invite the licensing authority not to view this as an ongoing problem because our client is clear that the CCTV is working in accordance with the licensing conditions and we invite any officers to come and check the same.

Our client does not accept smirking or being rude on the 17<sup>th</sup> December. We make this assertion as a side point. This may be a misunderstanding of our client's slight nervous disposition.

It is clear that a number of the issues that follow from December relate to a staff member who was present and behaving in a way that was contrary to the licence conditions. These are summarised as relating to the sale of alcohol, the recovery of illegal cigarettes and a suspected offensive weapon. That member of staff was dismissed and therefore does not work for the Uni shop. Mr Sharif-Nazhad has taken on board all concerns and has asked for the assistance of his uncle, Sheakha Sharif, in order to ensure that the licensing conditions are satisfied. Mr Sheakha Sharif has held a licence since 2016. We also point out that there is no evidence that the two poles that were discovered were in fact offensive weapons as there appears to be an assumption regarding criminal use.

During the licensing hearing, we will seek to advance a number of character references and are in the process of obtaining these. We will forward them as soon as possible.

We would submit that with the change in staffing, the bringing in of Mr Sheakha Sharif and the fact that there does not appear to have been any further issues since the 1<sup>st</sup> February 2021, we would invite the licensing authority to allow our client to retain his licence in relation to the Uni shop

We trust this clarifies the position however should you have any queries or concerns please do not hesitate to contact us.

